

Sulfation pathways

Foster, Paul; Mueller, Jonathan Wolf

DOI:

[10.1530/JME-18-0086](https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-18-0086)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Foster, P & Mueller, JW 2018, 'Sulfation pathways: Insights into steroid sulfation and desulfation pathways', *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. T271–T283. <https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-18-0086>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Checked for eligibility: 25/05/2018

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in *Endocrinology* following peer review. The version of record Foster, P.A. and Mueller, J.W., 2018. SULFATION PATHWAYS: Insights into steroid sulfation and desulfation pathways. *Journal of molecular endocrinology*, 61(2), pp.T271-T283. is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-18-0086>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **Insights into Steroid Sulfation and Desulfation Pathways**

2 Paul A Foster^{1,2} & Jonathan Wolf Mueller^{1,2}

3 ¹Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research (IMSR), University of Birmingham, Edgbaston,
4 Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

5 ²Centre for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism (CEDAM), Birmingham Health Partners,
6 Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TH, United Kingdom

7 Please address **correspondence** to PAF, p.a.foster@bham.ac.uk, or JWM, j.w.mueller@bham.ac.uk.

8 **Abbreviations used:**

9 25-OH-D3-S, 25-hydroxy-vitamin D3-3-sulfate; APS, adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate; DHEA,
10 dehydroepiandrosterone; DHEAS, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate; LC-MS/MS, liquid-
11 chromatography-tandem-mass-spectrometry; PAP, 3'-phospho-adenosine-5'-phosphate; PAPS, 3'-
12 phospho-adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate; PAPSS, 3'-phospho-adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate synthase;
13 STS, steroid sulfatase; SULT (1A1/1A3/2A1/1E1/18), sulfotransferase (1A1/1A3/2A1/1E1/18); TPST
14 (1/2), tyrosyl-protein sulfotransferase (1/2); XLI, X-linked ichthyosis

Abstract

Sulfation and desulfation pathways represent highly dynamic ways of shuttling, repressing and re-activating steroid hormones, thus controlling their immense biological potency at the very heart of endocrinology. This theme currently experiences growing research interest from various sides, including, but not limited to, novel insights about PAPS synthase and sulfotransferase function and regulation, novel analytics for steroid conjugate detection and quantification. Within this review, we will also define how sulfation pathways are ripe for drug development strategies, which have translational potential to treat a number of conditions, including chronic inflammatory diseases and steroid-dependent cancers.

Introduction

Steroid sulfation and desulfation pathways represent fundamental routes which regulate steroid circulatory transport and action. Whilst sulfated almost all steroids are inert and unable to bind to and activate their specific nuclear receptors. Indeed, as they are no longer lipophilic, sulfated steroids require active transport into cells via organic anion-transporters. Once intracellular, steroid conjugates can be desulfated, a process catalyzed by the ubiquitously expressed steroid sulfatase (STS) enzyme.

Over the past 50 years, scientific perspectives on why sulfated steroids exist have changed several times, from it being a mere solubilization step for subsequent renal secretion to sulfated steroids representing a dynamic pool of steroid precursors fueling peripheral steroid signaling (Reed, et al. 2005). Such dynamic sulfation/desulfation processes are highly relevant in the endocrine communication between mother and fetus, a field that recently was reviewed elsewhere (Geyer, et al. 2017). Another twist comes from recent evidence that sulfated steroids can still be substrates for steroidogenic enzymes, suggesting they may act as hormonal precursors for a wide range of steroids. We have previously provided a comprehensive review examining how sulfation and desulfation impacts steroid action in normal physiology and in a multitude of disease states (Mueller, et al. 2015). Here we aim to give an update on the key advancements in this rapidly moving field.

Different PAPS synthases for different sulfation pathways?

PAPS synthases and a subset of sulfotransferases work together to ensure efficient sulfation of steroid hormones. PAPS synthases provide high-energy sulfate in the form of 3'-phospho-adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate (PAPS) that is then used for sulfuryl transfer to hydroxyl- or amino-groups of acceptor molecules (Mueller and Shafqat 2013). Several recent cell-based studies investigated the function of PAPSS1. Small interfering RNA-mediated knockdown of PAPSS1 sensitizes non-small cell lung cancer cells to DNA damaging agents (Leung, et al. 2015; Leung, et al. 2017). PAPSS1 further seems to be essential for nuclear provirus establishment during retroviral (HIV) infection (Bruce, et al. 2008). This was independent from tyrosine sulfation of the CCR5 co-receptor of HIV, but required the sulfotransferase SULT1A1 for HIV-1 minus-strand DNA elongation (Swann, et al. 2016); however, the authors left open what SULT1A1 substrate was responsible for this effect.

A different picture emerges for the functionality of PAPSS2, the only other PAPS synthase encoded in the human genome. Transcriptional co-regulation of the *PAPSS2* genes with the *SULT2A1* sulfotransferase has been reported in some cases (Kim, et al. 2004; Sonoda, et al. 2002). Generally, *PAPSS2* is believed to be an inducible gene (Fuda, et al. 2002; Mueller et al. 2015); controlled by TGF- β via p38 kinase phosphorylating Sox9 (Coricor and Serra 2016). Rare compromising mutations in the

57 *PAPSS2* gene present clinically with bone and cartilage mal-formations and an endocrine defect
 58 (Noordam, et al. 2009). By performing a DHEA challenge test, we established that inactivating
 59 *PAPSS2* mutations cause apparent *SULT2A1* deficiency (Oostdijk, et al. 2015). DHEA could no longer
 60 be efficiently sulfated and was downstream converted to biologically active androgens; manifesting
 61 with undetectable DHEA sulfate, androgen excess and metabolic disease (Oostdijk et al. 2015).

62 Mechanistically, it is difficult to explain why two highly conserved enzymes with an amino acid
 63 identity of 78% could not compensate for each other. Both enzymes have similar APS kinase catalytic
 64 activity (Grum, et al. 2010) and they both shuttle between cytoplasm and nucleus, controlled by
 65 conserved nuclear localization and export signals (Schroder, et al. 2012). However, PAPS synthases 1
 66 and 2 differ markedly in their protein stability, with *PAPSS2* being partially unfolded at physiological
 67 temperature (van den Boom, et al. 2012). The natural ligand and substrate adenosine-5'-
 68 phosphosulfate (APS) stabilizes the enzyme, making APS an efficient modulator of sulfation
 69 pathways (Mueller and Shafqat 2013). For the sulfation pathways studied so far, the PAPS co-factor
 70 is always rate-limiting (Kauffman 2004; Moldrup, et al. 2011); but the question remains how
 71 specificity for one of the PAPS synthases is generated.

72 Substrate specificity and regulation of sulfotransferases

73 Sulfotransferases provide specificity to sulfation reactions by means of binding specific subsets of
 74 acceptor molecules (Coughtrie 2016). Our understanding of their structure, regulation, and function
 75 within different sulfation pathways has significantly increased in recent years. The first crystal
 76 structure of a plant sulfotransferase in complex with substrate, *Arabidopsis* *SULT18/AtSOT18* with
 77 the glucosinolate sinigrin bound to it, identified essential residues for substrate binding and
 78 demonstrated that the catalytic mechanism may be conserved between human and plant
 79 sulfotransferase enzymes (Hirschmann, et al. 2017). Further, the core elements including the 5'-PSB
 80 and 3'-PB motifs, both involved in the binding of PAPS, are structurally conserved even in the
 81 distantly related tyrosine-protein sulfotransferases, human *TPST1* and *TPST2* (Tanaka, et al. 2017;
 82 Teramoto, et al. 2013). Protein substrates have to locally unfold and bind in a deep active site cleft
 83 to *TPSTs* and the vicinity of the acceptor tyrosine residues adopts an intrinsically unfolded
 84 conformation in order to facilitate this process (Tanaka et al. 2017; Teramoto et al. 2013). *TPSTs*
 85 were known to fulfill different biological functions; shear stress applied to primary cultures of human
 86 umbilical vein endothelial cells lead to downregulation of *TPST1* via protein kinase C, but to
 87 upregulation of *TPST2* via a tyrosine kinase-dependent pathway (Goettsch, et al. 2006; Goettsch, et
 88 al. 2002). However, there are no obvious differences in the substrate-binding site of *TPST1* and 2;
 89 these need to be hidden in other non-conserved residues in the periphery. Similarly, substrate
 90 specificity may be controlled outside of the active center for *Arabidopsis* *SULT18/SOT18*
 91 (Hirschmann et al. 2017). The substrate specificity of human *SULT1A3*, on the other hand, is well
 92 understood. A single amino acid substitution in the substrate binding site (glutamic acid at position
 93 146) makes *SULT1A3* highly selective for catecholamines (both endogenous and xenobiotic) as
 94 Glu146 forms a salt bridge with the nitrogen on the catecholamine side chain (Dajani, et al. 1999).
 95 With this one exception, the molecular understanding of the isoform specificity of sulfotransferases
 96 remains a challenge despite the wealth of structural information.

97 Recent insights into enzyme kinetics may be helpful here. It is well known that sulfotransferases can
 98 show substrate-inhibition due to the formation of non-productive ternary complexes (Gulcan and
 99 Duffel 2011; Mueller et al. 2015). More recent is the view that sulfotransferases may be allosterically
 100 regulated by their cofactor PAPS: This allosteric regulation extended the dynamic range of *SULT1A1*'s
 101 catalytic efficiency (Wang, et al. 2014). Certainly, a new concept is that sulfotransferases might be

allosterically regulated in an isozyme-specific manner; liver sulfotransferase SULT1A1 for example is modulated by catechins (naturally occurring polyphenols) and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (Wang, et al. 2016). All these modes of regulation of SULTs are illustrated in **Figure 1**. A better understanding of sulfotransferase enzymes may have direct translational potential for drug development (Cook, et al. 2016): Raloxifene is an approved selective estrogen receptor modulator that is quickly sulfated, and thus inactivated, in human cells. Modulating this compound in a way that prevented sulfation, but left its interaction with the estrogen receptor untouched, resulted in an enormous increase in estrogen receptor-activation efficacy (Cook et al. 2016). It is likely that this approach could also work with other compounds.

Finally, it is population genetics influencing steroid sulfation pathways and the interindividual variability in drug response. Several coding single nucleotide polymorphisms in SULT genes influence an individual's sulfation capacity (Louwers, et al. 2013), but also gene number variations have been reported for *SULT2A1* (Ekstrom and Rane 2015) and other sulfotransferases (Marto, et al. 2017). In fact, the *SULT2A1* gene seems to be more evolvable than, for example, PAPS synthases (Mueller et al. 2015); Ensembl (<https://www.ensembl.org>) lists various expansions of this gene in different lineages with an eight-genes-comprising gene cluster in mice (Zerbino, et al. 2018), while a set of two PAPS synthase genes is highly conserved in vertebrates (van den Boom et al. 2012). A reverse approach using metabolomics and pharmacogenomics indicated that acetaminophen use phenocopied the effect of genetic variants of *SULT2A1* on sulfated metabolites of androstenediol, pregnenolone, and DHEA (Cohen, et al. 2018). This study also challenges views on the mechanism of action of acetaminophen in pain management as sulfated sex hormones can function as neurosteroids and modify nociceptive thresholds.

Analytics of steroid conjugates

From the very beginning of steroid metabolomics, steroid mixtures were de-conjugated before analysis, mainly by gas-chromatography-mass-spectrometry (Shackleton 2010). However, measuring both free and conjugated steroids may give complementary information. Quantification of conjugates could be laboriously carried out using biochemical separation techniques (Shackleton, et al. 1968) or in multi-step differential de-conjugation measurements (Hill, et al. 2010). Experimentally, detection of intact steroid conjugates was reported already in 1982 (Shackleton and Straub 1982), using particle beam ionization; however this technique did not become standard in analytical labs. Only recently, more and more reports describe the targeted measurements of steroid sulfates and glucuronides using LC-MS/MS. Galuska et al. (Galuska, et al. 2013) reported a combined targeted method for intact steroid sulfates and unconjugated steroids. Six steroid sulfates were quantified by ESI-MS-MS in negative mode and, separately, 11 unconjugated steroids were analyzed by atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI)-MS-MS in positive mode. This combined method could be used for different biological matrices including aqueous solutions, cell lysates and serum (Galuska et al. 2013). Validated targeted LC-MS/MS assays for different sex steroid sulfates from human serum are becoming available (Dury, et al. 2015; Poschner, et al. 2017; Sanchez-Guijo, et al. 2015b). Nevertheless, all these assays require separate runs for the conjugated and free steroids. An integrated method for quantifying free and sulfated steroids in a single LC-MS/MS run was recently described (Lee, et al. 2016). It used both SIM and MRM modes as well as polarity switching and was capable of detecting eight free steroids and four sulfated ones. All methods described so far, were targeted assays.

Noteworthy, low-energy collision-induced dissociation may be a way to discover new sulfo-conjugates. Maekawa et al. (Maekawa, et al. 2014) used this technique not only to detect sulfate

adducts (-97 m/z), but also glycine (-74 m/z) or taurine conjugates. The group of Oscar Pozo developed a modification of this idea to monitor disulfates (McLeod, et al. 2017); these doubly sulfated steroids will be discussed further below. Constant-ion-loss monitoring of one of the sulfates (-97 m/z) allowed untargeted detection of potentially all soluble bis-sulfates; with the caveat that phosphates could also cause this signal (McLeod et al. 2017). This method was recently applied in prenatal diagnostics [Pozo et al, *J Mol Endocr*, accepted 19-Feb-2018]. Further developments in steroid conjugate analytics may involve ultra-high-performance supercritical-fluid chromatography linked to mass spectrometry (Doue, et al. 2015) and mass spectrometry-imaging as established for sulfated gluco-lipids (Marsching, et al. 2014) or for testosterone (Shimma, et al. 2016); allowing for spatial resolution of sulfation ratios.

Measuring sulfation ratios of different enzymes precisely might help to expand what has been called the “sulfated steroid pathway” (Sanchez-Guijo, et al. 2016). The concept that sulfation does not prevent downstream conversion of steroids, but modulates it, is based on the side-chain cleaving activity of cytochrome P450 CYP11A1 towards cholesterol sulfate (Tuckey 1990). This observation was then extended to CYP17A1 that bound and metabolized pregnenolone sulfate (Neunzig, et al. 2014). It is STS that can then convert sulfated steroids to biologically active steroids (Sanchez-Guijo et al. 2016). Steroid analysis of patients with steroid sulfatase deficiency suggests that other enzymes partially can complement STS (Sanchez-Guijo et al. 2016). In such a pathway, the sulfo-group acts as protection group, allowing downstream biochemical conversions on one side of the steroid molecule, but not on the other.

Selected steroid species in sulfo-focus

Several steroid conjugates have been known for decades, but only recently have these forms been thought to be biologically meaningful and worth studying. Here, we briefly review knowledge about vitamin D-sulfates, steroid disulfates and 11-oxo-androgens.

Vitamin D

25-hydroxy-vitamin D3-3-sulfate (25-OH-D3-S) is a major metabolite of vitamin D3 found in the systemic circulation (Axelson 1985). As circulating concentrations of 25OH-D3-3-O-sulfate seem not to be rapidly secreted by the kidney, there is the possibility that this sulfate metabolite may serve as a reservoir of 25OH-D3 in vivo, contributing indirectly to the biologic effects of vitamin D (Wong, et al. 2018). Sulfotransferase SULT2A1 was identified as the major vitamin D3-sulfating enzyme (Kurogi, et al. 2017; Wong et al. 2018). SULT2A1 showed activity towards several vitamin D3-related compounds, whereas SULT1A1 and SULT2B1a/SULT2B1b only showed sulfating activity for, respectively, calcitriol and 7-dehydrocholesterol (Kurogi et al. 2017).

The relationship between vitamin D and sulfation pathways is reciprocal. The vitamin D receptor also induces transcription of the steroid sulfotransferases *SULT2A1* (Echchgadda, et al. 2004) and *SULT2B1b* (Seo, et al. 2013) as well as the phase I monooxygenase *CYP3A4* (Ahn, et al. 2016), among other genes. Interestingly, the induction of steroid sulfatase by vitamin D3 and retinoids was reported in HL60 promyeloid cells (Hughes, et al. 2001). As net effect, vitamin D transcriptional regulation results in androgen inactivation (Ahn et al. 2016) and elevated sulfation activity that might increase the levels of vitamin D sulfate metabolites.

Several analytical methods have been reported to detect and quantify vitamin D3 sulfoconjugates (Abu Kassim, et al. 2018; Gao, et al. 2017; Higashi, et al. 2014). Axelson reported values of 35 ± 14 nM for 25-hydroxy-D3-3-sulfate in plasma from 60 patients (Axelson 1985), Gao measured 56 ± 24 nM for

25-OH-D3-3-sulfate in serum from six healthy volunteers (Gao et al. 2017) and Abu Kassim found a range of 9.52–43.8 nM for 25-OH-D3-3-sulfate in serum of 10 volunteers (Abu Kassim et al. 2018). Concentrations of this vitamin D3 sulfoconjugate were consistently higher than its glucuronidated counterparts. More importantly, the reported circulating concentrations for vitamin D3-3-sulfate reach up to what is regarded as the normal level of circulating 25-OH-vitamin D3, 80-250 nM (Hollis 2010). Early studies described vitamin D3-3-sulfate as less biologically active than free vitamin D3 in rodents (Cancela, et al. 1987; Nagubandi, et al. 1981). Considering the high circulating concentrations of 25-OH-D3-3-sulfate in the human circulation, it should be taken into account when determining a person's vitamin D status - it could be a reservoir for local generation of 25-OH-D3 and the active 1,25-di-OH-D3.

Steroid disulfates

Several steroid-diols like estradiol or androstenediol can be doubly sulfated, most likely by the same steroid sulfotransferases due to the pseudo-symmetry of those steroids (Mueller et al. 2015) and a high degree of plasticity in the substrate binding sites (Berger, et al. 2011). As early as in 1962, steroid disulfates (also referred to as bis-sulfates) were described as a constituent of human urine (Pasqualini and Jayle 1962). Falany and coworkers established for 24-hydroxycholesterol-3,24-disulfate that double sulfation leads to a terminal product that is resistant to re-activation by STS (Cook, et al. 2009). This fueled the idea that a second sulfation step represented a further regulatory step or an irreversible step towards inactivation (Mueller et al. 2015). Double sulfation also changes affinity for organic anion transporters. While estradiol-3-sulfate and estradiol-17-sulfate both were substrates for the sodium-dependent organic anion transporter SOAT (SLC10A6), estradiol-3,17-disulfate no longer was cargo for this transporter (Grosser, et al. 2017); depending on where the second sulfation step may occur within the cell, a steroid disulfate may be confined to that cellular compartment.

11-oxo androgenic steroids

The C19 steroid 11 β -hydroxy-androstenedione is produced by the adrenal in significant amounts; it has however long been regarded as a dead-end product of adrenal steroidogenesis (Pretorius, et al. 2017). In recent years, evidence has accumulated that this steroid could be converted to potent androgenic 11-oxygenated steroids, 11-keto-testosterone and 11-keto-dihydrotestosterone, that have similar potency to testosterone and dihydrotestosterone to activate the human androgen receptor (Storbeck, et al. 2013). Sulfated 11-oxo-steroids have not been reported until now, analogous to other androgens (Schiffer, et al. 2018). Interestingly, 11-oxo-steroids seem to be resistant to glucuronidation in various cancer cell lines (du Toit and Swart 2018) and 11-keto-testosterone and 11-keto-dihydrotestosterone are metabolized at a slower rate than testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (Pretorius, et al. 2016). It seems that the 11-oxo modification prevents conjugation, making these steroids to exert prolonged androgenic effects.

Steroid sulfatase action and regulation

Steroid sulfatase is a membrane-bound protein with its active site located in the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (Thomas and Potter 2013). It catalyzes the hydrolysis of sulfate ester bonds from many chemical structures, and it is heavily involved in the desulfation of steroids. STS's main hormone substrates are estrone sulfate, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), pregnenolone sulfate, and cholesterol sulfate. Thus, STS action represents a major intracrine route in regenerating biologically active steroids. The crystal structure of STS has been determined (Hernandez-Guzman, et al. 2003) showing a domain consisting of two antiparallel α -helices that protrude from the roughly

spherical structure; this gives it a “mushroom-like” shape. Despite this, very little is known on what factors regulate STS activity. STS undergoes post-translational modifications, the key one being the generation of C-alpha formylglycine (FGly), the catalytic residue in the active site of STS, from a cysteine by sulfatase-modifying factors 1 and 2 (SUMF1 & SUMF2). Furthermore, STS contains four potential N-glycosylation sites, however only two (Asn47 and Asn259) are used (Stein, et al. 1989; von Figura, et al. 1998) and only mutations at these sites decrease activity (Stengel, et al. 2008).

Most recent studies have focused on directly measuring STS activity in a range of diseases and conditions in order to shed some light on how this enzyme is molecularly controlled (see **Figure 2**). Evidence from chronic liver disease and pre-osteoblastic cells suggests inflammatory mediators, in particular TNF α (Newman, et al. 2000), can regulate STS expression and activity most likely through NF-kB signaling (Dias and Selcer 2016; Jiang, et al. 2016); with activity depressed by glucocorticoid treatment (Dias and Selcer 2016). Interestingly, estrogens have also been shown to influence STS activity in leukocytes taken from pregnant patients where STS activity is increased in the 3rd trimester (Miyakawa, et al. 1994). In support of this, Gilligan et al. have shown estradiol (E₂) treatment can increase STS activity in colorectal cancer cells via G-protein coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) action (Gilligan, et al. 2017a). These studies suggest a potential positive feedback mechanism by which elevated local estrogen synthesis can further drive estrogen desulfation and activity. How this system is controlled by down-stream GPER mediators remains unknown. However, it is of interest that many steroids, including estrogens, are anti-inflammatory and thus local sulfation/desulfation regulation may represent a mechanism by which steroids control the local influence of an inflammatory insult.

Mutations in the STS gene and X-linked Ichthyosis

Mutations or deletions of the *STS* gene result in X-linked ichthyosis (XLI), a condition associated with hyperkeratosis (Ballabio, et al. 1989). XLI is also termed STS deficiency and represents a common inherited metabolic disorder, with 1:6000 live births and no geographical or ethnical variation (Fernandes, et al. 2010). Patients with XLI have no sulfatase activity and thus cholesterol sulfate breakdown is impaired. The subsequent cholesterol sulfate accumulation physiologically stabilizes cell membranes (Williams 1992) and builds-up in the stratum corneum causing partial retention hyperkeratosis with visible scaling (Elias, et al. 1984; Williams and Elias 1981). With this loss of desulfation, it is reasonable to assume XLI patients would also exhibit depleted circulating desulfated steroid concentrations, which would subsequently effect their hormone-related development. However, in healthy adult men STS has no significant impact on systemic androgen reactivation from DHEAS (Hammer, et al. 2005), thus suggesting *STS* loss has less physiological effects than anticipated. Indeed, in XLI patients, a compensatory mechanism has been identified through the upregulation of 5 α -reductase which, the authors suggest, maintains peripheral androgen activation despite reduced androgen availability (Idkowiak, et al. 2016). Along with changes in androgen metabolism, XLI patients also have elevated plasma concentrations of 27-hydroxycholesterol-3-sulfate compared to healthy males (Sanchez-Guijo, et al. 2015a). The effects of this increased oxysterol sulfate remains unknown.

Greater than 90% of XLI patients harbor complete deletions of the *STS* gene. However, there have been 14 point mutations within the *STS* gene previously reported; 3 nonsense mutations and 11 missense mutations (Mueller et al. 2015). More recently, a mutation in exon 3 of the *STS* gene was shown to cause a complete loss of STS activity in the affected patient (del Refugio Rivera Vega, et al. 2015). Furthermore, two unrelated Japanese patients with ichthyosis are known to have two different point mutations in exon 7 (Oyama, et al. 2016). A novel indel mutation in exon 5 of the *STS*

gene has also been reported leading to a frameshift causing a premature stop codon 81 codons downstream from the substitution site (Takeichi, et al. 2015). Intriguingly, this frameshift did not affect the reported active site of STS thus the encoded transcript may be spared if a truncated mutant protein was synthesized.

Steroid Sulfatase and Cancer

Breast Cancer

The most exciting advancements in steroid desulfation research have come through two recently completed clinical trials of the STS inhibitor Irosustat (STX64, 667Coumate). The IPET trial examined Irosustat in treatment of naive ER+ early breast cancer patients (Palmieri, et al. 2017b) and the Phase II IRIS trial examining the clinical benefit rate of Irosustat combined with aromatase inhibition in advance and metastatic ER+ breast cancer (Palmieri, et al. 2017a). Although patient recruitment numbers were relatively low (IPET n = 13; IRIS n = 27) both trials demonstrated some clinical benefit for STS inhibition. In the IPET trial breast tumors were assessed for the effects of Irosustat on tumor growth as measured by 3'-deoxy-3'-[18F]-fluorothymidine uptake measured by PET scanning (FLT-PET) and Ki67 immunohistochemistry. STS inhibition significantly reduced Ki67 scores and the tumor uptake of FLT as measured by PET. Furthermore, Irosustat also decreased tumor STS expression, with this effect also observed in other estrogen metabolizing enzymes and ER α expression. This suggests STS inhibition may have beneficial effects with regards to dampening down tumor estrogen synthesis.

Previous pre-clinical studies have shown combining aromatase inhibitors with STS inhibition was a viable strategy to treat MCF-7 xenografts in mice (Foster, et al. 2008a). Thus, the IRIS trial testing this strategy in breast cancer patients who had lapsed whilst on aromatase therapy. Clinical benefit rate was seen in 18.5% (95% CI 6.3-38.1%) of patients with a median progression-free survival of 2.7 months (95% CI 2.5-4.6). Considering the difficulty of treating advanced and metastatic breast cancer, these results are encouraging for the future of STS inhibition in breast cancer treatment. Furthermore, MCF-7 cells resistant to letrozole treatment have been shown to have higher STS mRNA expression and greater expression of organic anion-transporting polypeptides, which mediate estrone sulfate transport into the cell (Higuchi, et al. 2016). This provides some molecular insight into aromatase resistance and how STS inhibition may be beneficial to patients who relapse on aromatase inhibitors. However, more clinical data is still required to examine whether Irosustat, or indeed other STS inhibitors, would be beneficial for ER+ aromatase resistant breast cancer patients.

Gynecological Cancers

Along with new evidence suggesting the importance of *STS* and *SULT1E1* expression in endometriosis (Piccinato, et al. 2016), there are new insights into how desulfation impacts endometrial (Sinreih, et al. 2017) and ovarian (Mungenast, et al. 2017; Ren, et al. 2015) cancers. This work represents a growing interest in local estrogen metabolism and action in gynecological conditions (Rizner 2016; Rizner, et al. 2017). Indeed, these studies show a lack of aromatase activity and expression in these cancers, implicating STS activity as the most likely pathway through which local estrogen synthesis occurs (Ren et al. 2015; Sinreih et al. 2017). Indeed, high SULT1E1 protein expression is positively associated with better-differentiated epithelial ovarian cancers compared to grade 3 epithelial ovarian cancers (Mungenast et al. 2017). This suggests estrogen sulfation, and thus inactivation, limits estrogen tissue availability reducing the potential mitogenic effects of non-sulfated estrogens. Thus, targeting desulfation (i.e. via STS inhibition) may be an important strategy in treating ovarian and endometrial cancer. Pre-clinical mouse xenograft studies have previously demonstrated that STS

inhibition blocks estrone sulfate stimulated growth of endometrial tumors (Foster, et al. 2008b), although this theory remains to be tested clinically. Furthermore, and if the STS pathway dominates estrogen synthesis, then these studies may go some way to explain the clinical failure of aromatase inhibitors to treat endometrial cancer (Bogliolo, et al. 2016).

Gastrointestinal Cancers

A growing body of evidence on gastrointestinal cancers now implicates sex steroids and their desulfation as important drivers of proliferation (Barzi, et al. 2013; Foster 2013; Ur Rahman and Cao 2016). Most research has focused on colorectal cancer (CRC) as previous work has shown a potential prognostic role for STS and SULT1E1 protein expression in CRC (Sato, et al. 2009), implicating a high STS and low SULT1E1 expression as indicative of a poor outcome. More recently, over-expression of STS in the CRC cell line HCT116 increases proliferation in vitro and in vivo xenograft mouse models, with these effects blocked by STS inhibition by STX64 (Gilligan, et al. 2017b). These actions were shown to be through increased estrogen desulfation and activation of the G-protein coupled estrogen receptor (GPER), a finding further supported by evidence these effects may be modulated by a hypoxic environment (Bustos, et al. 2017). Indeed, it is of interest to note STS activity can increase hypoxia inducible factor Hif1 α expression in cervical and prostate cancer cells, suggesting STS action may be further regulated by hypoxic conditions (Shin, et al. 2017). Furthermore, estradiol (E₂) treatment increases both STS activity (Gilligan et al. 2017a) and GPER expression in CRC (Bustos et al. 2017), suggesting a novel positive feedback loop through which E₂ can drive CRC proliferation.

Steroid sulfation pathways, the brain and behavior

XLI patients have an association with behavioral disorders, which include attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), autism, and social communication deficits (Davies, et al. 2009; Stergiakouli, et al. 2011). A study examining 384 patients with ADHD identified two SNPs in the *STS* gene significantly associated with this condition (Brookes, et al. 2008). Indeed, the polymorphism rs17268988 within the *STS* gene is associated with inattentive behavior in males with ADHD (Humby, et al. 2017). More recently, XLI patients have been shown to be at a significantly increased risk of developmental conditions and psychiatric illness (Chatterjee, et al. 2016). The hormonal implications in these conditions remains ill-defined, although researchers have hypothesized disturbed neuronal DHEA-DHEAS metabolism might result in altered neurotransmitter function contributing to the observed abnormalities. There is some support for this theory, albeit in a different disease context. Evidence suggests declining concentrations of neurosteroids, such as DHEA and DHEAS, are closely associated with increased risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD) (El Bitar, et al. 2014; Wojtal, et al. 2006). STS inhibition attenuated cognitive deficits in spatial learning and memory and in hippocampal synaptic plasticity in rats with amyloid β protein induced AD (Yue, et al. 2016). The authors suggest STS inhibition elevated brain DHEAS concentrations with this accounting for the neuroprotective effects, although neuronal DHEAS levels were not measured. Thus, definitive proof that DHEAS is the key neurosteroid linked to STS action within the brain remains to be seen.

Another sulfated steroid, pregnenolone sulfate, is known to inhibit GABA neurotransmission in the brain. Two new studies shed light on the effect of this and other neurosteroids on GABA(A) receptor function. The stimulating neurosteroids tetra-hydrodeoxycorticosteron (THDOC) and pregnanolone bind to the very same site within the transmembrane domain (Lavery, et al. 2017; Miller, et al. 2017). Inhibitory pregnenolone-sulfate on the other hand binds to another site within the transmembrane domain and fosters pore opening, which corresponds to the desensitized state (Lavery et al. 2017).

367

368 Conclusion

369 Despite this review only covering the past few years of steroid sulfation and desulfation research, it
 370 highlights the now strong evidence supporting the importance on sulfation and desulfation
 371 pathways in controlling steroid action. Most importantly, early clinical trials in hormone-dependent
 372 breast cancer of the STS inhibitor Irosustat are encouraging and suggest inhibiting desulfation as a
 373 viable strategy. Thus, targeting steroid desulfation in other cancers and conditions remains of
 374 significant interest. Furthermore, improvements in measuring both sulfated and non-sulfated
 375 steroids via mass spectrometry should allow for more sensitive quantification and thus a greater
 376 ability to tease-out how the balance between sulfation and desulfation is regulated.

377 However, there is still much we do not know. Defining which PAPS synthase interacts with which
 378 SULT would lead to a greater understanding on steroid sulfation pathways and may lend itself to
 379 specific inhibitory strategies. Most researchers in this area focus on sulfated estrogens and androgen
 380 precursors (e.g. DHEAS), however we have little grasp of whether other sulfated steroids, such as
 381 vitamin D, represent biologically relevant reservoirs for local desulfation and subsequent action.
 382 Furthermore, we are only beginning to understand about disulfated steroids, and at present, we do
 383 not know how these are formed and whether they possess biological function. Finally, we still do not
 384 clearly understand what factors regulate STS activity, although inflammation seems most likely to
 385 play a role.

386 Acknowledgement

387 We acknowledge Martin Hewison and Karl Storbeck for reading and commenting on some parts of
 388 this manuscript. Research in the area of this review was funded by the European Commission (Marie
 389 Curie Fellowship SUPA-HD 625451, to JWM), the Wellcome Trust (ISSF award, to JWM), the MRC
 390 (Proximity-to-Discovery, to PAF and JWM) and the Society for Endocrinology (Early Career Grants, to
 391 JWM and PAF; Themed Scientific Meeting Grant, to PAF and JWM).

392 Declaration of interest

393 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the
 394 impartiality of the research reported.

395 References

- 396 Abu Kassim NS, Shaw PN & Hewavitharana AK 2018 Simultaneous determination of 12 vitamin D
 397 compounds in human serum using online sample preparation and liquid chromatography-
 398 tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A* **1533** 57-65.
 399 Ahn J, Park S, Zuniga B, Bera A, Song CS & Chatterjee B 2016 Vitamin D in Prostate Cancer. *Vitam*
 400 *Horm* **100** 321-355.
 401 Axelson M 1985 25-Hydroxyvitamin D3 3-sulphate is a major circulating form of vitamin D in man.
 402 *FEBS Lett* **191** 171-175.
 403 Ballabio A, Carrozzo R, Parenti G, Gil A, Zollo M, Persico MG, Gillard E, Affara N, Yates J, Ferguson-
 404 Smith MA, et al. 1989 Molecular heterogeneity of steroid sulfatase deficiency: a multicenter
 405 study on 57 unrelated patients, at DNA and protein levels. *Genomics* **4** 36-40.

- Barzi A, Lenz AM, Labonte MJ & Lenz HJ 2013 Molecular pathways: Estrogen pathway in colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **19** 5842-5848.
- Berger I, Guttman C, Amar D, Zarivach R & Aharoni A 2011 The molecular basis for the broad substrate specificity of human sulfotransferase 1A1. *PLoS One* **6** e26794.
- Bogliolo S, Gardella B, Dominoni M, Musacchi V, Cassani C, Zanellini F, De Silvestri A, Gaggero CR, Babilonti L & Spinillo A 2016 Effectiveness of aromatase inhibitors in the treatment of advanced endometrial adenocarcinoma. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* **293** 701-708.
- Brookes KJ, Hawi Z, Kirley A, Barry E, Gill M & Kent L 2008 Association of the steroid sulfatase (STS) gene with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **147B** 1531-1535.
- Bruce JW, Ahlquist P & Young JA 2008 The host cell sulfonation pathway contributes to retroviral infection at a step coincident with provirus establishment. *PLoS Pathog* **4** e1000207.
- Bustos V, Nolan AM, Nijhuis A, Harvey H, Parker A, Poulosom R, McBryan J, Thomas W, Silver A & Harvey BJ 2017 GPER mediates differential effects of estrogen on colon cancer cell proliferation and migration under normoxic and hypoxic conditions. *Oncotarget* **8** 84258-84275.
- Cancela L, Marie PJ, Le Boulch N & Miravet L 1987 Lack of biological activity of vitamin D3-3 beta sulfate during lactation in vitamin D-deficient rats. *Reprod Nutr Dev* **27** 979-997.
- Chatterjee S, Humby T & Davies W 2016 Behavioural and Psychiatric Phenotypes in Men and Boys with X-Linked Ichthyosis: Evidence from a Worldwide Online Survey. *PLoS One* **11** e0164417.
- Cohen IV, Cirulli ET, Mitchell MW, Jonsson TJ, Yu J, Shah N, Spector TD, Guo L, Venter JC & Telenti A 2018 Acetaminophen (Paracetamol) Use Modifies the Sulfation of Sex Hormones. *EBioMedicine* **28** 316-323.
- Cook I, Wang T, Wang W, Kopp F, Wu P & Leyh TS 2016 Controlling Sulfuryl-Transfer Biology. *Cell Chem Biol* **23** 579-586.
- Cook IT, Duniec-Dmuchowski Z, Kocarek TA, Runge-Morris M & Falany CN 2009 24-hydroxycholesterol sulfation by human cytosolic sulfotransferases: formation of monosulfates and disulfates, molecular modeling, sulfatase sensitivity, and inhibition of liver x receptor activation. *Drug Metab Dispos* **37** 2069-2078.
- Coricor G & Serra R 2016 TGF-beta regulates phosphorylation and stabilization of Sox9 protein in chondrocytes through p38 and Smad dependent mechanisms. *Sci Rep* **6** 38616.
- Coughtrie MWH 2016 Function and organization of the human cytosolic sulfotransferase (SULT) family. *Chem Biol Interact* **259** 2-7.
- Dajani R, Cleasby A, Neu M, Wonacott AJ, Jhoti H, Hood AM, Modi S, Hersey A, Taskinen J, Cooke RM, et al. 1999 X-ray crystal structure of human dopamine sulfotransferase, SULT1A3. Molecular modeling and quantitative structure-activity relationship analysis demonstrate a molecular basis for sulfotransferase substrate specificity. *J Biol Chem* **274** 37862-37868.
- Davies W, Humby T, Kong W, Otter T, Burgoyne PS & Wilkinson LS 2009 Converging pharmacological and genetic evidence indicates a role for steroid sulfatase in attention. *Biol Psychiatry* **66** 360-367.
- del Refugio Rivera Vega M, Murillo-Vilches MR, Toral-Lopez J, Sanchez EG, Sanchez AT, Gonzalez-Huerta LM & Cuevas-Covarrubias SA 2015 X-linked ichthyosis in a patient with a novel nonsense mutation in the STS gene. *J Dermatol Sci* **80** 160-162.
- Dias NJ & Selcer KW 2016 Steroid sulfatase in the human MG-63 preosteoblastic cell line: Antagonistic regulation by glucocorticoids and NFkappaB. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **420** 85-96.
- Doue M, Dervilly-Pinel G, Poupponeau K, Monteau F & Le Bizec B 2015 Analysis of glucuronide and sulfate steroids in urine by ultra-high-performance supercritical-fluid chromatography hyphenated tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem* **407** 4473-4484.
- du Toit T & Swart AC 2018 Inefficient UGT-conjugation of adrenal 11beta-hydroxyandrostenedione metabolites highlights C11-oxy C19 steroids as the predominant androgens in prostate cancer. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **461** 265-276.

- 457 Dury AY, Ke Y, Gonthier R, Isabelle M, Simard JN & Labrie F 2015 Validated LC-MS/MS simultaneous
458 assay of five sex steroid/neurosteroid-related sulfates in human serum. *J Steroid Biochem Mol*
459 *Biol* **149** 1-10.
- 460 Echchgadda I, Song CS, Roy AK & Chatterjee B 2004 Dehydroepiandrosterone sulfotransferase is a
461 target for transcriptional induction by the vitamin D receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* **65** 720-729.
- 462 Ekstrom L & Rane A 2015 Genetic variation, expression and ontogeny of sulfotransferase SULT2A1 in
463 humans. *Pharmacogenomics J* **15** 293-297.
- 464 El Bitar F, Meunier J, Villard V, Almeras M, Krishnan K, Covey DF, Maurice T & Akwa Y 2014
465 Neuroprotection by the synthetic neurosteroid enantiomers ent-PREGS and ent-DHEAS
466 against Abeta(2)(5)(-)(3)(5) peptide-induced toxicity in vitro and in vivo in mice.
467 *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **231** 3293-3312.
- 468 Elias PM, Williams ML, Maloney ME, Bonifas JA, Brown BE, Grayson S & Epstein EH, Jr. 1984 Stratum
469 corneum lipids in disorders of cornification. Steroid sulfatase and cholesterol sulfate in normal
470 desquamation and the pathogenesis of recessive X-linked ichthyosis. *J Clin Invest* **74** 1414-
471 1421.
- 472 Fernandes NF, Janniger CK & Schwartz RA 2010 X-linked ichthyosis: an oculocutaneous
473 genodermatosis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* **62** 480-485.
- 474 Foster PA 2013 Oestrogen and colorectal cancer: mechanisms and controversies. *Int J Colorectal Dis*
475 **28** 737-749.
- 476 Foster PA, Chander SK, Newman SP, Woo LW, Sutcliffe OB, Bubert C, Zhou D, Chen S, Potter BV,
477 Reed MJ, et al. 2008a A new therapeutic strategy against hormone-dependent breast cancer:
478 the preclinical development of a dual aromatase and sulfatase inhibitor. *Clin Cancer Res* **14**
479 6469-6477.
- 480 Foster PA, Woo LW, Potter BV, Reed MJ & Purohit A 2008b The use of steroid sulfatase inhibitors as
481 a novel therapeutic strategy against hormone-dependent endometrial cancer. *Endocrinology*
482 **149** 4035-4042.
- 483 Fuda H, Shimizu C, Lee YC, Akita H & Strott CA 2002 Characterization and expression of human
484 bifunctional 3'-phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulphate synthase isoforms. *Biochem J* **365** 497-
485 504.
- 486 Galuska CE, Hartmann MF, Sanchez-Guijo A, Bakhaus K, Geyer J, Schuler G, Zimmer KP & Wudy SA
487 2013 Profiling intact steroid sulfates and unconjugated steroids in biological fluids by liquid
488 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS-MS). *Analyst* **138** 3792-3801.
- 489 Gao C, Bergagnini-Kolev MC, Liao MZ, Wang Z, Wong T, Calamia JC, Lin YS, Mao Q & Thummel KE
490 2017 Simultaneous quantification of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3-3-sulfate and 25-hydroxyvitamin
491 D3-3-glucuronide in human serum and plasma using liquid chromatography-tandem mass
492 spectrometry coupled with DAPTAD-derivatization. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life*
493 *Sci* **1060** 158-165.
- 494 Geyer J, Bakhaus K, Bernhardt R, Blaschka C, Dezhkam Y, Fietz D, Grosser G, Hartmann K, Hartmann
495 MF, Neunzig J, et al. 2017 The role of sulfated steroid hormones in reproductive processes. *J*
496 *Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **172** 207-221.
- 497 Gilligan LC, Gondal A, Tang V, Hussain MT, Arvaniti A, Hewitt AM & Foster PA 2017a Estrone Sulfate
498 Transport and Steroid Sulfatase Activity in Colorectal Cancer: Implications for Hormone
499 Replacement Therapy. *Front Pharmacol* **8** 103.
- 500 Gilligan LC, Rahman HP, Hewitt AM, Sitch AJ, Gondal A, Arvaniti A, Taylor AE, Read ML, Morton DG &
501 Foster PA 2017b Estrogen Activation by Steroid Sulfatase Increases Colorectal Cancer
502 Proliferation via GPER. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **102** 4435-4447.
- 503 Goettsch S, Badea RA, Mueller JW, Wotzlaw C, Schoelermann B, Schulz L, Rabiller M, Bayer P &
504 Hartmann-Fatu C 2006 Human TPST1 transmembrane domain triggers enzyme dimerisation
505 and localisation to the Golgi compartment. *J Mol Biol* **361** 436-449.

- 506 Goettsch S, Goettsch W, Morawietz H & Bayer P 2002 Shear stress mediates tyrosylprotein
507 sulfotransferase isoform shift in human endothelial cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **294**
508 541-546.
- 509 Grosser G, Bennien J, Sanchez-Guijo A, Bakhaus K, Doring B, Hartmann M, Wudy SA & Geyer J 2017
510 Transport of steroid 3-sulfates and steroid 17-sulfates by the sodium-dependent organic anion
511 transporter SOAT (SLC10A6). *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*.
- 512 Grum D, van den Boom J, Neumann D, Matena A, Link NM & Mueller JW 2010 A heterodimer of
513 human 3'-phospho-adenosine-5'-phosphosulphate (PAPS) synthases is a new sulphate
514 activating complex. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **395** 420-425.
- 515 Gulcan HO & Duffel MW 2011 Substrate inhibition in human hydroxysteroid sulfotransferase
516 SULT2A1: studies on the formation of catalytically non-productive enzyme complexes. *Arch*
517 *Biochem Biophys* **507** 232-240.
- 518 Hammer F, Subtil S, Lux P, Maser-Gluth C, Stewart PM, Allolio B & Arlt W 2005 No evidence for
519 hepatic conversion of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) sulfate to DHEA: in vivo and in vitro
520 studies. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90** 3600-3605.
- 521 Hernandez-Guzman FG, Higashiyama T, Pangborn W, Osawa Y & Ghosh D 2003 Structure of human
522 estrone sulfatase suggests functional roles of membrane association. *J Biol Chem* **278** 22989-
523 22997.
- 524 Higashi T, Goto A, Morohashi M, Ogawa S, Komatsu K, Sugiura T, Fukuoka T & Mitamura K 2014
525 Development and validation of a method for determination of plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D3
526 3-sulfate using liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Analyt*
527 *Technol Biomed Life Sci* **969** 230-234.
- 528 Higuchi T, Endo M, Hanamura T, Gohno T, Niwa T, Yamaguchi Y, Horiguchi J & Hayashi S 2016
529 Contribution of Estrone Sulfate to Cell Proliferation in Aromatase Inhibitor (AI) -Resistant,
530 Hormone Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer. *PLoS One* **11** e0155844.
- 531 Hill M, Parizek A, Cibula D, Kancheva R, Jirasek JE, Jirkovska M, Velikova M, Kubatova J, Klimkova M,
532 Paskova A, et al. 2010 Steroid metabolome in fetal and maternal body fluids in human late
533 pregnancy. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **122** 114-132.
- 534 Hirschmann F, Krause F, Baruch P, Chizhov I, Mueller JW, Manstein DJ, Papenbrock J & Fedorov R
535 2017 Structural and biochemical studies of sulphotransferase 18 from *Arabidopsis thaliana*
536 explain its substrate specificity and reaction mechanism. *Sci Rep* **7** 4160.
- 537 Hollis BW 2010 Assessment and interpretation of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1,25-
538 dihydroxyvitamin D in the clinical environment. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* **39** 271-286,
539 table of contents.
- 540 Hughes PJ, Twist LE, Durham J, Choudhry MA, Drayson M, Chandraratna R, Michell RH, Kirk CJ &
541 Brown G 2001 Up-regulation of steroid sulphatase activity in HL60 promyelocytic cells by
542 retinoids and 1alpha,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. *Biochem J* **355** 361-371.
- 543 Humby T, Fisher A, Allen C, Reynolds M, Hartman A, Giegling I, Rujescu D & Davies W 2017 A genetic
544 variant within STS previously associated with inattention in boys with attention deficit
545 hyperactivity disorder is associated with enhanced cognition in healthy adult males. *Brain*
546 *Behav* **7** e00646.
- 547 Idkowiak J, Taylor AE, Subtil S, O'Neil DM, Vijzelaar R, Dias RP, Amin R, Barrett TG, Shackleton CH,
548 Kirk JM, et al. 2016 Steroid Sulfatase Deficiency and Androgen Activation Before and After
549 Puberty. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **101** 2545-2553.
- 550 Jiang M, Klein M, Zanger UM, Mohammad MK, Cave MC, Gaikwad NW, Dias NJ, Selcer KW, Guo Y, He
551 J, et al. 2016 Inflammatory regulation of steroid sulfatase: A novel mechanism to control
552 estrogen homeostasis and inflammation in chronic liver disease. *J Hepatol* **64** 44-52.
- 553 Kauffman FC 2004 Sulfonation in pharmacology and toxicology. *Drug Metab Rev* **36** 823-843.
- 554 Kim MS, Shigenaga J, Moser A, Grunfeld C & Feingold KR 2004 Suppression of DHEA sulfotransferase
555 (Sult2A1) during the acute-phase response. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **287** E731-738.

- 556 Kurogi K, Sakakibara Y, Suiko M & Liu MC 2017 Sulfation of vitamin D3 -related compounds-
 557 identification and characterization of the responsible human cytosolic sulfotransferases. *FEBS*
 558 *Lett* **591** 2417-2425.
- 559 Lavery D, Thomas P, Field M, Andersen OJ, Gold MG, Biggin PC, Gielen M & Smart TG 2017 Crystal
 560 structures of a GABAA-receptor chimera reveal new endogenous neurosteroid-binding sites.
 561 *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24** 977-985.
- 562 Lee SH, Lee N, Hong Y, Chung BC & Choi MH 2016 Simultaneous Analysis of Free and Sulfated
 563 Steroids by Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry with Selective Mass Spectrometric
 564 Scan Modes and Polarity Switching. *Anal Chem* **88** 11624-11630.
- 565 Leung AW, Dragowska WH, Ricaurte D, Kwok B, Mathew V, Roosendaal J, Ahluwalia A, Warburton C,
 566 Laskin JJ, Stirling PC, et al. 2015 3'-Phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulfate synthase 1 (PAPSS1)
 567 knockdown sensitizes non-small cell lung cancer cells to DNA damaging agents. *Oncotarget* **6**
 568 17161-17177.
- 569 Leung AWY, Veinotte CJ, Melong N, Oh MH, Chen K, Enfield KSS, Backstrom I, Warburton C, Yapp D,
 570 Berman JN, et al. 2017 In Vivo Validation of PAPSS1 (3'-phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulfate
 571 synthase 1) as a Cisplatin-sensitizing Therapeutic Target. *Clin Cancer Res* **23** 6555-6566.
- 572 Louwers YV, de Jong FH, van Herwaarden NA, Stolk L, Fauser BC, Uitterlinden AG & Laven JS 2013
 573 Variants in SULT2A1 affect the DHEA sulphate to DHEA ratio in patients with polycystic ovary
 574 syndrome but not the hyperandrogenic phenotype. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **98** 3848-3855.
- 575 Maekawa M, Shimada M, Iida T, Goto J & Mano N 2014 Tandem mass spectrometric characterization
 576 of bile acids and steroid conjugates based on low-energy collision-induced dissociation.
 577 *Steroids* **80** 80-91.
- 578 Marsching C, Jennemann R, Heilig R, Grone HJ, Hopf C & Sandhoff R 2014 Quantitative imaging mass
 579 spectrometry of renal sulfatides: validation by classical mass spectrometric methods. *J Lipid*
 580 *Res* **55** 2343-2353.
- 581 Marto N, Morello J, Monteiro EC & Pereira SA 2017 Implications of sulfotransferase activity in
 582 interindividual variability in drug response: clinical perspective on current knowledge. *Drug*
 583 *Metab Rev* **49** 357-371.
- 584 McLeod MD, Waller CC, Esquivel A, Balcells G, Ventura R, Segura J & Pozo OJ 2017 Constant Ion Loss
 585 Method for the Untargeted Detection of Bis-sulfate Metabolites. *Anal Chem* **89** 1602-1609.
- 586 Miller PS, Scott S, Masiulis S, De Colibus L, Pardon E, Steyaert J & Aricescu AR 2017 Structural basis
 587 for GABAA receptor potentiation by neurosteroids. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24** 986-992.
- 588 Miyakawa I, Kawano Y, Taniyama K & Mori N 1994 Steroid sulfatase activity in human leukocytes.
 589 *Gynecol Obstet Invest* **38** 191-193.
- 590 Moldrup ME, Geu-Flores F, Olsen CE & Halkier BA 2011 Modulation of sulfur metabolism enables
 591 efficient glucosinolate engineering. *BMC Biotechnol* **11** 12.
- 592 Mueller JW 2018 Hormongesteuert – neue molekulare Erkenntnisse. In *BIOspektrum*, p 57.
- 593 Mueller JW, Gilligan LC, Idkowiak J, Arlt W & Foster PA 2015 The Regulation of Steroid Action by
 594 Sulfation and Desulfation. *Endocr Rev* **36** 526-563.
- 595 Mueller JW & Shafqat N 2013 Adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate--a multifaceted modulator of
 596 bifunctional 3'-phospho-adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate synthases and related enzymes. *FEBS J*
 597 **280** 3050-3057.
- 598 Mungenast F, Aust S, Vergote I, Vanderstichele A, Sehouli J, Braicu E, Mahner S, Castillo-Tong DC,
 599 Zeillinger R & Thallhammer T 2017 Clinical significance of the estrogen-modifying enzymes
 600 steroid sulfatase and estrogen sulfotransferase in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Oncol Lett* **13**
 601 4047-4054.
- 602 Nagubandi S, Londowski JM, Bollman S, Tietz P & Kumar R 1981 Synthesis and biological activity of
 603 vitamin D3 3 beta-sulfate. Role of vitamin D3 sulfates in calcium homeostasis. *J Biol Chem* **256**
 604 5536-5539.

- Neunzig J, Sanchez-Guijo A, Mosa A, Hartmann MF, Geyer J, Wudy SA & Bernhardt R 2014 A steroidogenic pathway for sulfonated steroids: the metabolism of pregnenolone sulfate. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **144 Pt B** 324-333.
- Newman SP, Purohit A, Ghilchik MW, Potter BV & Reed MJ 2000 Regulation of steroid sulphotase expression and activity in breast cancer. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **75** 259-264.
- Noordam C, Dhir V, McNelis JC, Schlereth F, Hanley NA, Krone N, Smeitink JA, Smeets R, Sweep FC, Claahsen-van der Grinten HL, et al. 2009 Inactivating PAPSS2 mutations in a patient with premature pubarche. *N Engl J Med* **360** 2310-2318.
- Oostdijk W, Idkowiak J, Mueller JW, House PJ, Taylor AE, O'Reilly MW, Hughes BA, de Vries MC, Kant SG, Santen GW, et al. 2015 PAPSS2 deficiency causes androgen excess via impaired DHEA sulfation--in vitro and in vivo studies in a family harboring two novel PAPSS2 mutations. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **100** E672-680.
- Oyama N, Matsuda M, Hamada T, Numata S, Teye K, Hashimoto T & Hasegawa M 2016 Two novel missense mutations of STS gene underlie X-linked recessive ichthyosis: understanding of the mutational and structural spectrum. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* **30** 1629-1631.
- Palmieri C, Stein RC, Liu X, Hudson E, Nicholas H, Sasano H, Guestini F, Holcombe C, Barrett S, Kenny L, et al. 2017a IRIS study: a phase II study of the steroid sulfatase inhibitor Irosustat when added to an aromatase inhibitor in ER-positive breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **165** 343-353.
- Palmieri C, Szydio R, Miller M, Barker L, Patel NH, Sasano H, Barwick T, Tam H, Hadjiminis D, Lee J, et al. 2017b IPET study: an FLT-PET window study to assess the activity of the steroid sulfatase inhibitor irosustat in early breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **166** 527-539.
- Pasqualini JR & Jayle MF 1962 Identification of 3beta,21-dihydroxy-5-pregnene-20-one disulfate in human urine. *J Clin Invest* **41** 981-987.
- Piccinato CA, Neme RM, Torres N, Sanches LR, Derogis P, Brudniewski HF, Rosa ESJC & Ferriani RA 2016 Effects of steroid hormone on estrogen sulfotransferase and on steroid sulfatase expression in endometriosis tissue and stromal cells. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **158** 117-126.
- Poschner S, Zehl M, Maier-Salamon A & Jager W 2017 Simultaneous quantification of estrogens, their precursors and conjugated metabolites in human breast cancer cells by LC-HRMS without derivatization. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* **138** 344-350.
- Pretorius E, Africander DJ, Vlok M, Perkins MS, Quanson J & Storbeck KH 2016 11-Ketotestosterone and 11-Ketodihydrotestosterone in Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer: Potent Androgens Which Can No Longer Be Ignored. *PLoS One* **11** e0159867.
- Pretorius E, Arlt W & Storbeck KH 2017 A new dawn for androgens: Novel lessons from 11-oxygenated C19 steroids. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **441** 76-85.
- Reed MJ, Purohit A, Woo LW, Newman SP & Potter BV 2005 Steroid sulfatase: molecular biology, regulation, and inhibition. *Endocr Rev* **26** 171-202.
- Ren X, Wu X, Hillier SG, Fegan KS, Critchley HO, Mason JI, Sarvi S & Harlow CR 2015 Local estrogen metabolism in epithelial ovarian cancer suggests novel targets for therapy. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **150** 54-63.
- Rizner TL 2016 The Important Roles of Steroid Sulfatase and Sulfotransferases in Gynecological Diseases. *Front Pharmacol* **7** 30.
- Rizner TL, Thalhammer T & Ozveg-Laczka C 2017 The Importance of Steroid Uptake and Intracrine Action in Endometrial and Ovarian Cancers. *Front Pharmacol* **8** 346.
- Sanchez-Guijo A, Neunzig J, Gerber A, Oji V, Hartmann MF, Schuppe HC, Traupe H, Bernhardt R & Wudy SA 2016 Role of steroid sulfatase in steroid homeostasis and characterization of the sulfated steroid pathway: Evidence from steroid sulfatase deficiency. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **437** 142-153.
- Sanchez-Guijo A, Oji V, Hartmann MF, Schuppe HC, Traupe H & Wudy SA 2015a High levels of oxysterol sulfates in serum of patients with steroid sulfatase deficiency. *J Lipid Res* **56** 403-412.

- Sanchez-Guijo A, Oji V, Hartmann MF, Traupe H & Wudy SA 2015b Simultaneous quantification of cholesterol sulfate, androgen sulfates, and progestagen sulfates in human serum by LC-MS/MS. *J Lipid Res* **56** 1843-1851.
- Sato R, Suzuki T, Katayose Y, Miura K, Shiiba K, Tateno H, Miki Y, Akahira J, Kamogawa Y, Nagasaki S, et al. 2009 Steroid sulfatase and estrogen sulfotransferase in colon carcinoma: regulators of intratumoral estrogen concentrations and potent prognostic factors. *Cancer Res* **69** 914-922.
- Schiffer L, Arlt W & Storbeck KH 2018 Intracrine androgen biosynthesis, metabolism and action revisited. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **465** 4-26.
- Schroder E, Gebel L, Eremeev AA, Morgner J, Grum D, Knauer SK, Bayer P & Mueller JW 2012 Human PAPS synthase isoforms are dynamically regulated enzymes with access to nucleus and cytoplasm. *PLoS One* **7** e29559.
- Seo YK, Mirkheshti N, Song CS, Kim S, Dodds S, Ahn SC, Christy B, Mendez-Meza R, Ittmann MM, Abboud-Werner S, et al. 2013 SULT2B1b sulfotransferase: induction by vitamin D receptor and reduced expression in prostate cancer. *Mol Endocrinol* **27** 925-939.
- Shackleton C 2010 Clinical steroid mass spectrometry: a 45-year history culminating in HPLC-MS/MS becoming an essential tool for patient diagnosis. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **121** 481-490.
- Shackleton CH, Livingstone JR & Mitchell FL 1968 The conjugated 17-hydroxy epimers of delta5-androstene-3beta-17-diol in infant and adult urine and umbilical cord plasma. *Steroids* **11** 299-311.
- Shackleton CH & Straub KM 1982 Direct analysis of steroid conjugates: the use of secondary ion mass spectrometry. *Steroids* **40** 35-51.
- Shimma S, Kumada HO, Taniguchi H, Konno A, Yao I, Furuta K, Matsuda T & Ito S 2016 Microscopic visualization of testosterone in mouse testis by use of imaging mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem* **408** 7607-7615.
- Shin S, Im HJ, Kwon YJ, Ye DJ, Baek HS, Kim D, Choi HK & Chun YJ 2017 Human steroid sulfatase induces Wnt/beta-catenin signaling and epithelial-mesenchymal transition by upregulating Twist1 and HIF-1alpha in human prostate and cervical cancer cells. *Oncotarget* **8** 61604-61617.
- Sinreich M, Knific T, Anko M, Hevir N, Vouk K, Jerin A, Frkovic Grazio S & Rizner TL 2017 The Significance of the Sulfatase Pathway for Local Estrogen Formation in Endometrial Cancer. *Front Pharmacol* **8** 368.
- Sonoda J, Xie W, Rosenfeld JM, Barwick JL, Guzelian PS & Evans RM 2002 Regulation of a xenobiotic sulfonation cascade by nuclear pregnane X receptor (PXR). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99** 13801-13806.
- Stein C, Hille A, Seidel J, Rijnbout S, Waheed A, Schmidt B, Geuze H & von Figura K 1989 Cloning and expression of human steroid-sulfatase. Membrane topology, glycosylation, and subcellular distribution in BHK-21 cells. *J Biol Chem* **264** 13865-13872.
- Stengel C, Newman SP, Day JM, Tutill HJ, Reed MJ & Purohit A 2008 Effects of mutations and glycosylations on STS activity: a site-directed mutagenesis study. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **283** 76-82.
- Stergiakouli E, Langley K, Williams H, Walters J, Williams NM, Suren S, Giegling I, Wilkinson LS, Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC, et al. 2011 Steroid sulfatase is a potential modifier of cognition in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Genes Brain Behav* **10** 334-344.
- Storbeck KH, Bloem LM, Africander D, Schloms L, Swart P & Swart AC 2013 11beta-Hydroxydihydrotestosterone and 11-ketodihydrotestosterone, novel C19 steroids with androgenic activity: a putative role in castration resistant prostate cancer? *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **377** 135-146.
- Swann J, Murry J & Young JA 2016 Cytosolic sulfotransferase 1A1 regulates HIV-1 minus-strand DNA elongation in primary human monocyte-derived macrophages. *Virology* **13** 30.
- Takeichi T, Sugiura K, Hsu CK, Tanahashi K, Takama H, Simpson MA, McGrath JA & Akiyama M 2015 Novel indel mutation of STS underlies a new phenotype of self-healing recessive X-linked ichthyosis. *J Dermatol Sci* **79** 317-319.

- 706 Tanaka S, Nishiyori T, Kojo H, Otsubo R, Tsuruta M, Kurogi K, Liu MC, Suiko M, Sakakibara Y & Kakuta
 707 Y 2017 Structural basis for the broad substrate specificity of the human tyrosylprotein
 708 sulfotransferase-1. *Sci Rep* **7** 8776.
- 709 Teramoto T, Fujikawa Y, Kawaguchi Y, Kurogi K, Soejima M, Adachi R, Nakanishi Y, Mishiro-Sato E, Liu
 710 MC, Sakakibara Y, et al. 2013 Crystal structure of human tyrosylprotein sulfotransferase-2
 711 reveals the mechanism of protein tyrosine sulfation reaction. *Nat Commun* **4** 1572.
- 712 Thomas MP & Potter BV 2013 The structural biology of oestrogen metabolism. *J Steroid Biochem*
 713 *Mol Biol* **137** 27-49.
- 714 Tuckey RC 1990 Side-chain cleavage of cholesterol sulfate by ovarian mitochondria. *J Steroid*
 715 *Biochem Mol Biol* **37** 121-127.
- 716 Ur Rahman MS & Cao J 2016 Estrogen receptors in gastric cancer: Advances and perspectives. *World*
 717 *J Gastroenterol* **22** 2475-2482.
- 718 van den Boom J, Heider D, Martin SR, Pastore A & Mueller JW 2012 3'-Phosphoadenosine 5'-
 719 phosphosulfate (PAPS) synthases, naturally fragile enzymes specifically stabilized by
 720 nucleotide binding. *J Biol Chem* **287** 17645-17655.
- 721 von Figura K, Schmidt B, Selmer T & Dierks T 1998 A novel protein modification generating an
 722 aldehyde group in sulfatases: its role in catalysis and disease. *Bioessays* **20** 505-510.
- 723 Wang T, Cook I & Leyh TS 2014 3'-Phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulfate allosterically regulates
 724 sulfotransferase turnover. *Biochemistry* **53** 6893-6900.
- 725 Wang T, Cook I & Leyh TS 2016 Isozyme Specific Allosteric Regulation of Human Sulfotransferase
 726 1A1. *Biochemistry* **55** 4036-4046.
- 727 Williams ML 1992 Epidermal lipids and scaling diseases of the skin. *Semin Dermatol* **11** 169-175.
- 728 Williams ML & Elias PM 1981 Stratum corneum lipids in disorders of cornification: increased
 729 cholesterol sulfate content of stratum corneum in recessive x-linked ichthyosis. *J Clin Invest* **68**
 730 1404-1410.
- 731 Wojtal K, Trojnar MK & Czuczwar SJ 2006 Endogenous neuroprotective factors: neurosteroids.
 732 *Pharmacol Rep* **58** 335-340.
- 733 Wong T, Wang Z, Chapron BD, Suzuki M, Claw KG, Gao C, Foti RS, Prasad B, Chapron A, Calamia J, et
 734 al. 2018 Polymorphic Human Sulfotransferase 2A1 Mediates the Formation of 25-
 735 Hydroxyvitamin D3-3-O-Sulfate, a Major Circulating Vitamin D Metabolite in Humans. *Drug*
 736 *Metab Dispos* **46** 367-379.
- 737 Yue XH, Tong JQ, Wang ZJ, Zhang J, Liu X, Liu XJ, Cai HY & Qi JS 2016 Steroid sulfatase inhibitor DU-14
 738 protects spatial memory and synaptic plasticity from disruption by amyloid beta protein in
 739 male rats. *Horm Behav* **83** 83-92.
- 740 Zerbino DR, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Amode MR, Barrell D, Bhai J, Billis K, Cummins C, Gall A, Giron
 741 CG, et al. 2018 Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46** D754-D761.

742

Figure legends

Figure 1: Different modes of regulation of sulfotransferase enzymes. **A**, human SULT1A3 contains a unique glutamate (E) in the substrate binding site, specifically binding catecholamines. **B**, substrates can bind in non-productive conformations, causing substrate inhibition. **C**, dissociation of PAP from the sulfotransferase may be rate-limiting, causing product inhibition. **D**, allosteric protein-protein contacts may regulate SULT function. **E**, non-substrate molecules may allosterically activate sulfotransferases. Please refer to the main text for further explanation.

Figure 2: The regulation of STS activity. Many factors are known to either increase or decrease STS activity. To increase STS activity, sulfatase-modifying factors 1 and 2 (SUMF1 and SUMF2) generate C-alpha formylglycine (FGly), the catalytic residue in the active site of STS, from a cysteine. Estrogens, in particular estradiol, have been shown to increase STS activity in leukocytes in the third trimester of pregnancy and in colorectal cancer cells, with this effect potentially regulated by G-protein coupled estrogen receptors (GPER). Inflammation, mediated by $\text{TNF}\alpha$ through NF- κ B signalling, also increases local STS activity. Many cancers, in particular breast, prostate, and colorectal cancer, have all been shown to have higher STS activity compared to non-malignant tissue. Factors that decrease STS activity include mutations in the SUMF1 gene leading to failure of the formation of FGly and thus reduced catalytic activity. Drugs, such as Irosustat, that target STS activity have been developed. Interestingly, glucocorticoids, including dexamethasone, can reduce STS activity in various cell lines. Inherited STS deficient (X-linked ichthyosis) patients have loss of STS activity.




Figure 1: Different modes of regulation of sulfotransferase enzymes. A, human SULT1A3 contains a unique glutamate (E) in the substrate binding site, specifically binding catecholamines. B, substrates can bind in non-productive conformations, causing substrate inhibition. C, dissociation of PAP from the sulfotransferase may be rate-limiting, causing product inhibition. D, allosteric protein-protein contacts may regulate SULT function. E, non-substrate molecules may allosterically activate sulfotransferases. Please refer to the main text for further explanation.

170x129mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 2

318x221mm (96 x 96 DPI)